

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/627,050	07/25/2003	Gary Matroni	P-6256U2 SLDZ 2 00312	3796		
7590 10/05/2004			EXAMINER			
Michelle Bugbee, Esq. The Top-Flite Golf Company, Inc.			GORDON,	GORDON, RAEANN		
425 Meadow St		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
PO Box 901		3711	3711			
Chicopee, MA 01021-0901			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/627,05	0	MATRONI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	,	Art Unit				
		Raeann G	orden	3711				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory irre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eve tion. s, a reply within the statu period will apply and will y statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 July 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		•					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Extended The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b)[to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance. Seed of if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have beer uments have beer e priority docume Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Application nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
2) Notice (3) Inform	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/- ir No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/03;2/12/04.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	·-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/627,050

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 6-28, 32-40, 42-47, and 49-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu et al (6,645,091). Regarding claims 1 and 6-8, Wu discloses a golf ball comprising a core and cover made from polyurethane. The polyurethane includes a diisocyanate. With respect to the melt index prior to molding, the limitation appears to be a method step, which does not further limit the golf ball. Furthermore it appears as if the melt index is different once the golf ball is produced. Regarding claim 9, Wu discloses the golf ball includes an intermediate layer (abstract). Regarding claim 10, the diisocyanate may be MDI (col. 7). Regarding claims 11-18, Wu discloses a golf ball comprising a core and cover made from polyurethane. The polyurethane includes a diisocyanate. The cover has a Shore D hardness from 30 to 60 (col. 11). With respect to the melt index prior to molding, the limitation appears to be a method step, which does not further limit the golf ball. Regarding claims 19-21, Wu discloses the cover layer should have a flexural modulus from 500 to 150,000 psi and the polyurethane of the present invention is relatively soft, which indicates the lower end of the range is

Art Unit: 3711

applicable (col. 11). Regarding claim 22, Wu discloses the golf ball includes an intermediate layer (abstract). Regarding claims 23 and 26-28, Wu discloses a golf ball comprising a core and cover made from polyurethane. The polyurethane includes a diisocyanate. With respect to the increase in the melt index prior to molding, the limitation appears to be a method step, which does not further limit the golf ball. Wu further discloses the cover layer should have a flexural modulus from 500 to 150,000 psi and the polyurethane of the present invention is relatively soft, which indicates the lower end of the range is applicable (col. 11). Regarding claim 24 and 25, the method by which the melt index is increased prior to molding is clearly a method step that does not affect the final product. Regarding claims 32-34, Wu further discloses the cover layer should have a flexural modulus from 500 to 150,000 psi and the polyurethane of the present invention is relatively soft, which indicates the lower end of the range is applicable (col. 11). Regarding claims 35-38, the cover has a Shore D hardness from 30 to 60 (col. 11). Regarding claims 39 and 40, Wu discloses a golf ball comprising a core and cover made from a thermoplastic polyurethane. The polyurethane includes a diisocyanate. Wu further discloses the cover layer should have a flexural modulus from 500 to 150,000 psi and the polyurethane of the present invention is relatively soft, which indicates the lower end of the range is applicable (col. 11). The cover may include more than one layer. Regarding claim 42. Wu discloses the golf ball includes an intermediate layer (abstract). Regarding claims 43 and 44, the diisocyanate may be MDI (col. 7). Regarding claims 45 and 46, Wu discloses a golf ball comprising a core and cover made from polyurethane. The polyurethane includes a diisocyanate. With respect to

the melt index prior to molding, the limitation appears to be a method step, which does not further limit the golf ball. Furthermore it appears as if the melt index is different once the golf ball is produced. Regarding claim 47 and 49, Wu discloses the golf ball includes an intermediate layer (abstract). Regarding claims 50 and 51, the diisocyanate may be MDI (col. 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5, 29-31, 41, and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of Sullivan (6,210,293). Wu discloses the invention as shown above but fails to disclose the thickness of the cover layer. However, Sullivan teaches an outer cover layer with a thickness from 0.01 to 0.1 inch. One of ordinary skill in the art would have modified Wu in view of Sullivan for the desired durability.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 703-308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,050 Page 5

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 703-308-1513. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg October 1, 2004

PAEANN GORDEN